

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION		
10/727,010	12/03/2003	T. Scott Pinkerton	P05574US01	5502	
22885 759	90 03/08/2005		EXAMINER		
MCKEE, VOORHEES & SEASE, P.L.C. 801 GRAND AVENUE			KRUSE, DAVID H		
SUITE 3200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DES MOINES, IA 50309-2721			1638		
			DATE MAILED: 03/08/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)
	10/727,0	910	PINKERTON ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examine	ier	Art Unit
	David H I	Kruse	1638
The MAILING DATE of this communeriod for Reply	nication appears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (1) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum is Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ermunication. 30) days, a reply within the statatutory period will apply and vy will, by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be tutory minimum of thirty (30) d vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro plication to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on		
•	2b) This action is a	non-final.	•
3) Since this application is in condition	n for allowance excep	t for formal matters, p	rosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the pract	tice under <i>Ex parte Q</i>	<i>uayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.
isposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the	application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	• •	onsideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election i	requirement.	
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by th	ne Examiner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12/03/2003</u> i	is/are: a)⊠ accepted	l or b)☐ objected to b	y the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any obje	- ', '	-	• •
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including		= : :	
11) The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examiner. N	ote the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority			Alam Ma
2. Certified copies of the priority3. Copies of the certified copies		• •	
application from the Internation	• •		ved in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action	•	, ,,	∕ed. →
		🗖 .	
)⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I	
(i) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of Paper No(s)/Mail Date 05/14/2004.			Patent Application (PTO-152)
5. Patent and Trademark Office		-,	
TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Summa	ary F	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 02282005

Application/Control Number: 10/727,010

Art Unit: 1638

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 14 May 2004 has been considered, a signed copy is attached hereto.

Specification

2. The claim of priority to a provisional application on page 1 of the specification is objected to because the phrase "claims priority to" should read -- claims benefit of -- under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Applicant is required to correct this matter of form.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 5, 7, 8, 11, 13, 14, 17, 19, 20, 23, 33, 34, 36 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Phillips *et al* (Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1990, 87:8155-8159).

Phillips et al disclose transforming a Drosophila melanogaster cell with a polynucleotide encoding the Pseudomonas diminuta organophosphate hydrolase and selecting transformed cells using the organophosphate paraoxon (see page 8155, right column to page 8156, left column). Phillips et al disclose introducing a first and a second polynucleotide, said first polynucleotide encoding a heat shock protein and said second polynucleotide encoding organophosphate hydrolase. Phillips et al disclose

Application/Control Number: 10/727,010

Art Unit: 1638

analyzing for the presence of hydrolysis by spectrophotometry. Hence, Phillips et al have previously disclosed all of the claim limitations.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barrett (U.S. Patent 6,380,465, filed 11 July 1999) in view of Jilka (WO 99/53037, published 21 October 1999) and Hood *et al* (WO 01/96543 A2, published 20 December 2001).

Barrett teaches transforming plant cells with a polynucleotide encoding P450 enzymes that metabolize organophosphates and a method of determining the ability of the polynucleotide to protect a plant from deleterious effects of a pesticide comprising contacting said plant with a pesticide (see column 6, lines 9-40, and claim 14). Barrett teaches that the preferred plant is maize (see column 5, line 66). Barrett teaches that detection of metabolites can be performed by fluorescent labeling (column 6, line 27).

Barrett does not teach transforming a plant cell with a polynucleotide encoding an organophosphate hydrolase, comprising a first and a second polynucleotide, or a polynucleotide encoding SEQ ID NO: 1.

Jilka teaches a plant cell transformed with a polynucleotide encoding an organophosphate hydrolase (claim 19). Jilka teaches that said plant cell can be corn

Application/Control Number: 10/727,010

Art Unit: 1638

(page 18, line 13), that said polynucleotide can comprise an additional coding sequence (page 13, 3rd paragraph), that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention would have been motivated to optimize a polynucleotide encoding an organophosphate hydrolase for expression in corn (page 7, lines 7-8), and that other host organisms such as bacteria and yeast can be transformed (page 3, last paragraph).

Hood *et al* teach a polynucleotide comprising SEQ ID NO: 1 in Figures 19 (not labeled) and 20. Hodd *et al* teach a maize plant cell transformed with said polynucleotide (page 33, lines 24-29). Hood *et al* teach detecting a hydrolysis product using spectrophotometric methods (page 34, lines 19-24). Hood *et al* teach using the organophosphate paraoxon to detect activity (page 34, lines 19-24).

It would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to modify the teachings of Barrett to transform a corn plant cell with a polynucleotide encoding an organophosphate and select transformed cell in the presence of an organophosphate that inhibits growth of a corn cell using the teachings of Jilka and Hood *et al.* The organophosphate compounds bensulide, a lipid synthesis inhibitor, and amiprophos-methyl, an anti-microtubule agent, of the claimed methods would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention as well known plant cell growth inhibitors that can be degraded by an organophosphate hydrolases. The methods of detecting hydrolysis at Applicant's claims 38-40 would have been obvious depending upon what organophosphate is used, the methods of claims 38 and 39 are explicitly taught in the prior art. Given the success

Application/Control Number: 10/727,010 Page 5

Art Unit: 1638

of Jilka in expressing a polynucleotide optimized for maize, encoding a bacterial organophosphate hydrolase, in a maize plant cell, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention would have had a reasonable expectation of success. It was widely recognized by those of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that polynucleotides encoding herbicide-detoxifying enzymes could be used to select for transformed plant cells as evidenced by the teachings of Jilka that additional selection marker genes that confer on a plant cell resistance to a chemical agent can be used (page 13, 3rd paragraph).

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David H. Kruse, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-0799. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Amy Nelson can be reached at (571) 272-0804. The fax telephone number for this Group is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-0547.

DAVID H. KRUSE, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER

no Mhuse

David H. Kruse, Ph.D. 3 March 2005

Application/Control Number: 10/727,010 Page 6

Art Unit: 1638

9. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.